

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,378	02/13/2004	Makoto Sasaki	118626	4597
25944 7590 01/29/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			TYLER, NATHAN K	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			86			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/777,378	SASAKI, MAKOTO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan K. Tyler	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
. ⁰)∟	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
. —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	dammer, Note the attached Office	s Action of John 1 10-132.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachma	nt(e)		;			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, filed 08 November 2007, with respect to the 101 rejections of claims 13 and 14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments regarding the prior art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Sasaki teaches only generating a point in the YMCK space, and that this does not meet the limitation of generating a "limited pair." In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. By taking an existing point in the output space and generating a corresponding point in the input space, a pair has been generated, as the new generated point is mapped to its corresponding existing point.

Applicant also argues that Sasaki does not teach generating a limited group using a predetermined constraint condition set in the input space. In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the previous office action, Sasaki teaches a "color area satisfying coverage limitation" which is a predetermined constraint condition set in the input space.

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (US 20030072018 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Sasaki discloses a numerical processing apparatus comprising a limited output point group generation unit that generates limited output points in the output space respectively corresponding to limited input points satisfying a predetermined constraint condition that is set in the input space in advance (Fig. 7 shows the L*a*b* space (output space). This space is constrained to T: "color area satisfying coverage limitation" "A condition of a coverage limitation is imposed to a general output device. The coverage limitation implies that an upper limit is set to the total amount of a recording material such as a toner or an ink, which is used for reproducing a color signal" at paragraph 9. This coverage limitation is a predetermined constraint condition set in the CMYK (input) space, as the CMYK space is used by the printer),

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

to generate a plurality of limited pairs each consisting of a limited input point and a limited output point (As discussed in the Response to Arguments, using an existing limited input point to generate a limited output point results in the generation of a resulting limited pair); and an input point element determination unit (Fig. 1, numeral 15 "optimum india ink amount determining section) that determines at least one element of the input point satisfying the constraint condition, when an output point is given, on the basis of plurality of limited pairs ("The YMCK signal calculating section 16 predicts YMC by using the L*a*b* input to the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15 and the optimum black colorant amount calculated by the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15, and determines YMCK (input) by the predicted YMC and the optimum black colorant amount... Thus, the YMCK (input space) corresponding to the input L*a*b* (output space) can be determined." at paragraph [0040]).

Regarding **claim 3**, Sasaki discloses that the input point element determination unit determines at least one of n elements of the input points on the basis of the limited output point group and a group of elements to be determined in the limited input point group corresponding to the limited output point group ("The YMCK signal calculating section 16 predicts YMC by using the L*a*b* input to the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15 and the optimum black colorant amount calculated by the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15, and determines YMCK (input) by the predicted YMC and the optimum black colorant amount... Thus, the YMCK (input space) corresponding to the input L*a*b* (output space) can be determined." at paragraph [0040]).

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding **claim 4**, Sasaki discloses an input point determination unit that determines the residual elements of the input points on the basis of the given output point and at least one element of the input points determined by the input point element determination unit (Fig. 1, numeral 16 "YMCK signal calculating section." "In consideration of the foregoing, a maximum black colorant amount corresponding to L*a*b* subjected to the dichotomizing search is calculated, and the YMC is predicted from the maximum black colorant amount and the L*a*b*." at paragraph [0050]).

Regarding claim 5, Sasaki discloses a color processing apparatus comprising a limited output color group generation unit that generates limited output color points in the output color space respectively corresponding to limited input color points satisfying a predetermined constraint condition that is set in the input color space in advance (Fig. 7 shows the L*a*b* space (output space). This space is constrained to T: "color area satisfying coverage limitation" "A condition of a coverage limitation is imposed to a general output device. The coverage limitation implies that an upper limit is set to the total amount of a recording material such as a toner or an ink, which is used for reproducing a color signal" at paragraph 9. This coverage limitation is a predetermined constraint condition set in the CMYK (input) space, as the CMYK space is used by the printer), to generate a plurality of limited pairs each consisting of a limited input color point and a corresponding limited output color point (As discussed in the Response to Arguments, using an existing limited input point to generate a limited output point results in the generation of a resulting limited pair); and an input color element determination unit that determines at least one element of the input color satisfying the constraint condition, when an

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

output color is given, on the basis the limited input color group and the generated limited output color group ("The YMCK signal calculating section 16 predicts YMC by using the L*a*b* input to the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15 and the optimum black colorant amount calculated by the optimum black colorant amount determining section 15, and determines YMCK (input) by the predicted YMC and the optimum black colorant amount...

Thus, the YMCK (input space) corresponding to the input L*a*b* (output space) can be determined." at paragraph [0040]).

Regarding **claim 6**, Sasaki discloses that the input color space includes an element of black (see grounds for rejection for claim 5, the input space is CMYK, where K is black); and the constraint condition includes a condition of the input colors in which the output colors corresponding to the input colors are distributed on a curved surface corresponding to a value of black (see Fig. 3(B). Colors along the chrominance axis (axis of ordinate) are mapped along the curved line representing the amount of black. "the relationship between C* and the black colorant amount shown in a solid line of FIG. 3(B) [is] predicted by color prediction modeling based on the regulated black colorant amount shown in the black circle of FIG. 3. Data to be used for the modeling are the typical color signal (L*a*b*) in the color gamut..." at paragraph [0037]), which is the element of the input colors.

Regarding **claim 7**, Sasaki discloses that the input color space includes four elements of cyan, magenta, yellow and black (see grounds for rejection for claim 5, input space is CMYK); and the constraint condition includes a condition that a sum of cyan, magenta, yellow and black takes a value decided in advance ("If the YMCK ranges from 0% to 100% and the sum of the YMCK is equal to or smaller than a coverage limitation value..." at paragraph [0050]).

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 8, Sasaki discloses that the input color space includes four elements of cyan, magenta, yellow and black (see above); and the constraint condition includes a condition that at least one of cyan, magenta and yellow takes a maximum value in an allowable range ("If the YMCK ranges from 0% to 100% and the sum of the YMCK is equal to or smaller than a coverage limitation value..." at paragraph [0050]. For the total sum to equal a coverage limitation value, each of cyan, magenta, and yellow is set to a maximum value where the black colorant value is also maximized, and the total combination equals the coverage limitation value).

Regarding claim 9, Sasaki discloses that the input color space includes four elements of cyan, magenta, yellow and black (see above); and the constraint condition includes a condition that at least one of cyan, magenta and yellow takes a minimum value in an allowable range ("In general, a total color material amount is minimized in a combination of YMCK to which the black colorant is added at a maximum" at paragraph [0050]. Here K is maximized and each of C, M, and Y are at a minimum).

Regarding claim 10, Sasaki discloses that the input color space includes four elements of cyan, magenta, yellow and black (see above), and the color processing apparatus further comprises an input color determination unit that determines the residual elements of the input colors on the basis of the output color and at least one of the elements of cyan, magenta, yellow and black of the input color determined by the input color element determination unit (Fig. 1, numeral 16 "YMCK signal calculating section." "In consideration of the foregoing, a maximum black colorant amount corresponding to L*a*b* subjected to the dichotomizing search is

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

calculated, and the YMC is predicted from the maximum black colorant amount and the L*a*b*." at paragraph [0050]).

Regarding **claim 11**, Sasaki discloses a numerical processing method comprising generating limited output points in the output space respectively corresponding to limited input points satisfying a predetermined constraint condition that is set in the input space in advance (see grounds for rejection for claim 1), to generate a plurality of limited pairs each consisting of a limited input point and a corresponding limited output point (see grounds for rejection for claim 1); and determining at least one element of the input point satisfying the constraint condition, when an output point is given, on the basis of the plurality of limited pairs (see grounds for rejection for claim 1).

Regarding claim 12, Sasaki discloses a color processing method comprising: generating limited output color points in the output color space corresponding to limited input color points satisfying a predetermined constraint condition that is set in the input color space in advance (see grounds for rejection for claim 5), to generate a plurality of limited pairs each consisting of a limited input color point and a corresponding limited output color point (see grounds for rejection for claim 5); and determining at least one element of the input color satisfying the constraint condition, when an output color is given, on the basis of the plurality of limited pairs (see grounds for rejection for claim 5).

Regarding claim 13, Sasaki discloses a computer readable storage medium storing a color processing program that when executed, causes a computer to execute a numerical processing ("Each of the embodiments of the color processing method according to the invention can also be implemented by a computer program. In that case, the program and data to be used

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

by the same program can also be stored in a computer readable storage medium" at paragraph [0067]) comprising generating a limited output point group in the output space corresponding to a limited input point group satisfying a predetermined constraint condition set in the input space in advance (see grounds for rejection for claim 1); and determining at least one element of the input point satisfying the constraint condition, when an output point is given, on the basis of the limited input point group and the generated limited output point group (see grounds for rejection for claim 1).

Regarding claim 14, Sasaki discloses a computer readable storage medium storing a color processing program that when executed, causes a computer to execute a color processing ("Each of the embodiments of the color processing method according to the invention can also be implemented by a computer program. In that case, the program and data to be used by the same program can also be stored in a computer readable storage medium" at paragraph [0067]) comprising generating a limited output color group in the output color space corresponding to a limited input color group satisfying a predetermined constraint condition set in the input color space in advance (see grounds for rejection for claim 5); and determining at least one element of the input color satisfying the constraint condition, when an output color is given, on the basis of the limited input color group and the generated limited output color group (see grounds for rejection for claim 5).

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Sasaki and Kumada et al. (US 6919972 B2).

Regarding **claim 2**, Sasaki teaches a numerical conversion apparatus that applies a constraint condition to the input space, generating limited input and output point groups based on this constraint, and then performing a conversion from the output space to the input space using these limited point groups. Sasaki does not explicitly teach the correspondence from output points to input points being decided uniquely. Kumada teaches mapping each point in LAB space to a unique point in CMYK space in a color conversion process (Kumada Fig. 13 shows a graph used to generate a LAB to CMYK conversion table. In the graph, the mapping function is shown to be a one-to-one function, where each LAB value is mapped to a unique CMYK value).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the graph disclosed by Kumada to generate the look up table used for color space conversion in the numerical processing apparatus disclosed by Sasaki, since the one-to-one function of the conversion graph could be used in combination with the numerical processing apparatus to achieve the predictable result of generating a unique point in the input space for each point in the output space.

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan K. Tyler whose telephone number is 571-270-1584. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on 571-272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/777,378 Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan K Tyler

Examiner

Art Unit 2625

KING Y. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER